



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/708,138 | 02/09/2004 | Douglas J Kroll | 04204 | 2137 |
| 23688 | 7590 08/10/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| Bruce E. Harang | | | GUTMAN, HILARY L | |
| PO BOX 872735 VANCOUVER, WA 98687-2735 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3612 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Matina of Abandan | 10/708,138 | KROLL ET AL. | | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Hilary Gutman | 3612 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | | | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | | |
| The application is abandoned in view of. | | | | | | | |
| . Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of | Mailing or Transmission dated | • • | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does | s not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | · · | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee ar from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL- | | the statutory period of three months | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory particular Allowance (PTOL-85). | , | - | | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has r | not been received. | | | | | | |
| B. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as red Allowability (PTO-37). | quired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the Notice of | | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | | |
| . The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. | ne attorney or agent of record, the ass | signee of the entire interest, or all of | | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repre | sentative capacity under 37 CFR | | | | | |
| 5. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla | | se the period for seeking court review | | | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| • | | Hilary Gutman 3612 | | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraining any negative effects on patent term. | raw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to | | | | | |

MC

Part of Paper No. 0705